## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS EL PASO DIVISION

KYLEE M. PAUGH §	
§	
Plaintiff, §	
§	
v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:20-cv-	154
§	
LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION, §	
§	
Defendant. §	

## **NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Lockheed Martin ("<u>Defendant</u>") notifies the Court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446(a), of the removal of the action styled *Kylee M. Paugh v. Lockheed Martin Corporation*, Cause No. 2020-DCV-1386, filed in the 327th Judicial District Court of El Paso County, Texas (the "<u>State Court Action</u>").

Defendant removes this case on the ground of the Court's original diversity jurisdiction of civil actions where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different States, 28 U.S.C.§§ 1332(a)(1), 1441(a), and on the grounds of federal question jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C.§ 1331, and states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff filed the State Court Action on April 23, 2020. Plaintiff served Defendant with citation and a copy of Plaintiff's Original Petition (the "Petition") on May 5, 2020, so this removal is timely. See 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b); Murphy Bros., Inc. v. Michetti Pipe Stringing, Inc., 526 U.S. 344, 347 (1999).
- 2. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), copies of all process, pleadings, and orders in the State Court Action have been indexed and are attached to this Notice of Removal as shown below:

- Exhibit A An index of documents filed in the State Court Action and a copy of each document filed in the State Court Action.
- Exhibit B A copy of the docket sheet in the State Court Action.
- Petition ¶ 3. On information and belief, Defendant asserts that Plaintiff was at the time of filing of the Petition in the State Court Action, and is now, a citizen of the State of Ohio. Defendant was at the time of filing of the Petition, and is now, a corporation organized and chartered under the laws of the State of Maryland with its principal place of business in Bethesda, Maryland. Thus, Defendant is a citizen of the State of Maryland and there is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and Defendant. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(c)(1), 1441(b).
- 4. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs. Plaintiff's Petition in the State Court Action alleges Defendant violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended ("<u>Title VII</u>"), and Chapter 21 of the Texas Labor Code. *See* Petition ¶ 5. Plaintiff seeks lost wages, back pay, front pay, lost benefits, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and attorneys' fees. *See* Petition ¶ Prayer for Relief. Based on Plaintiff's allegations and request for damages, it is facially apparent that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs. *See Allen v. R & H Oil & Gas Co.*, 63 F.3d 1326, 1335 (5th Cir. 1995).
- 5. Accordingly, this case is one over which this Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) and is one that may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) and (b) inasmuch as this is a civil action brought in a state court over which this Court has original jurisdiction, in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and there is complete diversity of citizenship between the parties.

Case 3:20-cv-00154-DB Document 1 Filed 06/01/20 Page 3 of 4

In addition, Plaintiff expressly asserts a claim in the Petition under Title VII. See

Petition ¶ 5. This claim gives this Court original jurisdiction over this cause under 28 U.S.C.

§ 1331, because the Title VII claim arises under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United

States and thus presents a federal question.

7. Any state-law claims asserted in the Petition are removed as part of the case as a

whole and may be removed and retained by the Court pursuant to the Court's supplemental

jurisdiction. 28 U.S.C. § 1367; see City of Chicago v. International College of Surgeons, 118 S. Ct.

523, 529-30 (1997).

6.

8. The factual allegations made in this pleading will be supported by affidavit or other

summary-judgment-type evidence should the Court so request. See, e.g., Allen v. R&H Oil & Gas

Co., 63 F.3d 1326, 1336 (5th Cir. 1995) (facts supporting removal ordinarily should be set out in

the removal petition and the Court can later require summary-judgment-type evidence should

questions about jurisdiction remain); Burden v. General Dynamics Corp., 60 F.3d 213, 217 (5th

Cir. 1995).

9. This action may be removed to the United States District Court for the Western

District of Texas, El Paso Division, because the action is pending in El Paso County, Texas, which

is within the El Paso Division. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 124(d)(3), 1446(a).

10. Defendant will give written notice of the filing of this Notice to Plaintiff and will

file a copy of this Notice with the Clerk of the state court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

11. Defendant therefore removes the State Court Action to this Court and requests that

the Court issue any orders necessary to stay proceedings in the State Court Action and assume

jurisdiction over this action for all purposes.

Dated: June 1, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Anthony J. Campiti

Micah R. Prude State Bar No. 24051216 Anthony J. Campiti State Bar No. 000798092 Catherine Barbaree State Bar No. 24098962

THOMPSON & KNIGHT LLP

One Arts Plaza 1722 Routh Street, Suite 1500 Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 969-1700 Facsimile: (214) 969-1751

E-mail: micah.prude@tklaw.com
E-mail: tony.campiti@tklaw.com
E-mail: catherine.barbaree@tklaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on Plaintiffs' counsel of record by Email this 1st day of June 2020, on all counsel of record as listed below:

Perry Pinon 1312 Montana El Paso, Texas 79902 perrypinonatty@aol.com

/s/Micah R. Prude
Micah R. Prude

031421.000277 23524302.1